I am deeply concerned about Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary just days before the 2004 presidential election. Their action exemplifies a major problem related to allowing such a huge media consolidiation to exist.

I feel that since Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, it has a clear oblication to serve all the public interests not just their own narrow agenda. When large companies control the airwaves we get more of what is good for their bottom line and less of what is good of our democracy.

Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you. Donald W. Hillis